

Hoff, David

From: Thrift, Mike
Sent: Friday, July 12, 2013 4:48 PM
To: Summerhays, John; Wright, Rhonda; Rosendahl, Tom
Cc: Jones, Rhea; Knapp, Ruth; Cervak, Sarah; Portanova, Mary; Blakley, Pamela; Aburano, Douglas
Subject: RE: Amended Response to Comments Document
Attachments: RTC%20R5%207-12[1]-mt071213.docx

Here are my comments ONLY on the sections John named below. I'm fearful of the "competeing versions" problem, given how dissimilar this version is on those sections compared to the general version Rhonda sent for my review and to Tom Rosenthal's edits on the WV TSD. Most of my edits until we get to the 3.2.11.3 section are minor grammatical ones (which I insist be accepted, based not on legal expertise but on my B.A. in English from UCLA, the all-time leader in NCAA championships, including, finally, baseball). But that last section is alarming, and, my edits to it are placeholders. [REDACTED]

Ex. 5 - Attorney Client Privilege and Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process Privilege

From: Summerhays, John
Sent: Friday, July 12, 2013 12:03 PM
To: Wright, Rhonda
Cc: Thrift, Mike; Jones, Rhea; Knapp, Ruth; Cervak, Sarah; Portanova, Mary; Blakley, Pamela; Aburano, Douglas
Subject: Amended Response to Comments Document

Here is an updated version of our part of the response to comments document. The changes are limited to the following sections:

3.2.5.2 Pekin – Sarah has previously sent you this section by itself; the version here has a minor editorial revision shown in redline/strikeout.

3.2.5.5 Richmond, IN - [REDACTED]
Non-Responsive

3.2.5.7 Marshall, WV (Previously Wheeling, WV-OH) – [REDACTED]
Non-Responsive

3.2.11.3 Marshall, WV (Previously Wheeling, WV-OH) – [REDACTED]
Non-Responsive